

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that the application contained claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (1) the species of Figures 1-3; (2) the species of Figures 4, 5A and 5B; and (3) the species of Figure 6, and required applicant to elect one species for further prosecution.

Election of Species in Response to Restriction Requirement


Applicant elects, without traverse, the species drawn to Figs. 1-3, and the claims readable on the elected species, that is, claims 1-3, 5, 12, 13, 15, and 17, for further prosecution on the merits.

Conclusion

Applicant has addressed the Examiner's requirement for restriction herein. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner issue an early Notice of Allowance. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-5425.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Oct. 12, 2005



Mark D. Simpson, Esq.
Reg. No. 32,942

Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP
2600 Aramark Tower
1101 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950
Telephone: 215-923-4466
Facsimile: 215-923-2189